| ≯ BAJAJ | Equipment : | ment : | | | | | loss | oss | | | | | | KAIZEN IDEA SHEET | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Department : | Production | | Result : | | N | Р | Q C | | D S | | ı | м | | | | | | | |
| Distinctly Ahead | Cell: | Prop Shaft Assly | | Type : | \top | | | | | ╁ | | | | Kaizen ID : 2565 | | | | | | |
| Unit Name : PO | OONA FORGE P LTD, F | Pune | | Operation : Milling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kaizen Theme: To | prevent the rejection de | ue to milling twist | Idea | ı: No | tilting |) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Problem / Present Status | | Counter Measure | | | | | Benchmark: 35 nos p | | | | | os per month | | | | | | | | |
| Flatness of two faces is more than +/-1 | | Clamping provided for machined face while doing second operation to avoid | | | | | Target: 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | tilting | | | | s | tart : | | 10/08/ | 2010 | | Finished : | 10/08/ | /2010 | | | | | |
| | | | | DBL 97 | | | N | ote : | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Team Members : | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | A Year of The | | | | 1. | 1. Mr. Deshpande | | | | | 2. Mr. Dhol | 2. Mr. Dhole | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 3. | 3. Mr. Patel | | | | | 4. Mr. Jadh | 4. Mr. Jadhav | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 5. | 5. | | | | | 6. | 6. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | В | Benefits | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4 | | 1 | Ĭ, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Why Why Analysis : | | Result: | | | | | Kaizen Sustenance : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W1 : Why Component getting rejected due to twist ? | | Rejection due to tilt reduces to zero | | | | | What To Do : Daily monitoring by supervisor | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A1 : Manual operation gi | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W2 : Why Manual operation given from one side ? | | 3500 - | | | | | How To Do : To check the plunger operations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A2 : No clamping | | | 3000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W3 : Why No clamping ? | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 2000 | | | | | | Frequency: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Bafore | . ⊢ | | | | Cost Incurred For Making Kaizen: | | | | | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge | | ining ? | | | | Before After | c | ost Ind | curred | For Ma | iking l | Kaizen | : | | | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge | | ining ? | 2000 | | | | c | | curred aterial | | iking I | | abour Cost | Т | otal | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge | | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | c | | | Cost | aking I | | | 1 | otal | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge | | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost | | L | abour Cost | 1 | | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge A4 : | | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost) For Ho | | L al Dep | abour Cost | 1 | | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge A4 : | | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost) For Ho | prizont | L al Dep | abour Cost | C | 0.00 | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge A4 : Root Cause Tilting | etting tilted while mach | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost) For Ho | prizont | L al Dep | abour Cost | C | 0.00 | | | | | |
| W4 : Why Component ge A4 : Root Cause Tilting Date : 10/6 | getting tilted while mach | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost) For Ho | prizont | L al Dep | abour Cost | C | 0.00 | | | | | |
| Date : 10/0 | retting tilted while mach | ining ? | 2000 1500 1000 500 | | | | C | M | aterial 0.00 | Cost) For Ho | prizont | L al Dep | abour Cost | C | 0.00 | | | | | |