

**General Industries Ltd**  
**Pune**

**Date** : 13/03/2020

**Audit Sheet**

**Audit Name** : Child Part Inspection System (QMS) audit

**Doc No** : GIL/SA/001

**Unit** : Autoline Engineering Pvt Ltd

**Rev.:** 0

**Audit Date** : 01-11-2019

**Rev. Date** 19-11-2019

**Overall Observation** :

Sr. No.	Auditor Name	Sign	Sr. No.	Auditee Names	Sign
1	Mr. Patil		1	Mr. Raje	
2	Mr. Rawat		2	Mr. Yadav	

	Point Description	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Audit Observation	Action Plan	Responsibility	Target Date
<b>Product</b>							
1	System is as per new updates.	5	5.0				
<b>System</b>							
2	No of people trained for system usage (expectation 2 persons per shift)	4	4.0				
3	No. of child part to be included are mapped and uploading and uploading of all master data is done to monitor inspection data.	8	8.0				

Process							
4	Started production parts of new / modified design only after data uploading in child part inspection system.	4	4.0				
5	CFT (quality, development, ME etc.) is formed and is contributing in data uploading, team members are aware for their respective data uploading in system.	3	3.0				
6	Components are identified for inspection from variable to attribute type of inspection parameters and accordingly gauges were planned.	3	3.0				
7	Existing gauges were identified for modification required to cover CTQ + other parameters to avoid judgement error.	4	4.0				
8	Component/Assembly wise gauges required/not required is approved by Customer CD / QA.	3	3.0				
9	Child part rejection is reviewed in daily QSR review (Morning meeting) for rejection reasons, actions and Deviation approval.	2	2.0				
10	Phenomenon eliminated across all components at vendor. List of actions mapped at all applicable components.	3	3.0				
11	Necessary pokayoke are implemented based on observation in inward inspection of the last months to avoid issues from reappearing again.	3	3.0				
12	Traceability of Accepted / Rejected / and under deviation components were monitored thr. system.	3	3.0				
13	Corrective actions are mapped for identified defects.	1	1.0				
14	Corrective action in the form of why why analysis for restored element uploaded through SSP Portal / T-II QMS.	2	2.0				
15	Corrective action in the form of kaizen for change uploaded through SSP Portal / T-II QMS.	2	2.0				
Marks Obtained			50.0				
Marks Achievable			50.00	Rating System			
%			100.00	Marks obtained >=95% - A		80%<Marks Obtained>=60% C	
Grade			A	95%<Marks Obtained>=80% B		Marks Obtained <60% D	